



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

December 30, 2003

Ms. Jennifer Soldano
Associate General Counsel
Texas Department of Transportation
125 East 11th Street
Austin, Texas 78701-2483

OR2003-9358

Dear Ms. Soldano:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 193419.

The Texas Department of Transportation (the "department") received a request for four categories of information related to the traffic light at the intersection of US Highway 290 and Texas FM 734 (Parmer Lane) in Austin. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.111 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

We first note that portions of the submitted information are subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code. Section 552.022 provides in part that

the following categories of information are public information and not excepted from required disclosure under this chapter unless they are expressly confidential under other law:

(1) a completed report, audit, evaluation, or investigation made of, for, or by a governmental body, except as provided by Section 552.108[.]

....

(3) information in an account, voucher, or contract relating to the receipt or expenditure of public or other funds by a governmental body[.]

Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(1), (3). The submitted information contains completed reports, which are expressly public under section 552.022(a)(1), as well as information in an account, voucher, or contract relating to the expenditure of public funds, which is made public pursuant to section 552.022(a)(3). Therefore, the department may only withhold this information if it is confidential under other law.¹ Although you argue that the submitted information is excepted under section 552.111 of the Government Code, that exception is a discretionary exception to disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act") that protects the governmental body's interests and may be waived.² As such, section 552.111 is not other law that makes information confidential for the purposes of section 552.022(a). Accordingly, we conclude that the department may not withhold the submitted information that is subject to section 552.022 under section 552.111 of the Government Code.

However, the department also asserts that this information is made confidential by law under section 409 of title 23 of the United States Code. This statute provides:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, reports, surveys, schedules, lists, or data compiled or collected for the purpose of identifying [sic] evaluating, or planning the safety enhancement of potential accident sites, hazardous roadway conditions, or railway-highway crossings, pursuant to sections 130, 144, and 152 of this title or for the purpose of developing any highway safety construction improvement project which may be implemented utilizing Federal-aid highway funds shall not be subject to discovery or admitted into evidence in a Federal or State court proceeding or considered for other purposes in any action for damages arising from any occurrence at a location mentioned or addressed in such reports, surveys, schedules, lists, or data.

23 U.S.C. § 409. Federal courts have stated that section 409 excludes from evidence data compiled for purposes of highway and railroad crossing safety enhancement and construction for which a state receives federal funding, in order to facilitate candor in administrative evaluations of highway safety hazards and to prevent federally-required record-keeping from being used for purposes of private litigation. *See Harrison v. Burlington N. R.R. Co.*, 965 F.2d 155, 160 (7th Cir. 1992); *see also Robertson v. Union Pac. R.R. Co.*, 954 F.2d 1433, 1435 (8th Cir. 1992). We agree that section 409 of title 23 of the United States Code is other law for purposes of section 552.022(a) of the Government Code. *See In re City of Georgetown*, 53 S.W.3d 328 (Tex. 2001); *see also Pierce County v. Guillen*, 123

¹With respect to information subject to section 552.022(a)(1), we note that while such information may be withheld if it is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code, the department does not raise section 552.108 in this instance.

²Discretionary exceptions are intended to protect only the interests of the governmental body, as distinct from exceptions which are intended to protect information deemed confidential by law or the interests of third parties. *See* Open Records Decision No. 473 (1987) (governmental body may waive statutory predecessor to section 552.111); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 522 at 4 (1989) (discretionary exceptions in general). Discretionary exceptions therefore do not constitute "other law" that makes information confidential.

S.Ct. 720 (2003) (upholding constitutionality of section 409, upon which county relied in denying request under state's Public Disclosure Act).

You state that US 290 and FM 734 are part of the National Highway System under section 103 of title 23 of the United States Code, and therefore are federal-aid highways within the meaning of section 409 of title 23. Based on this representation and our review of the information at issue, we conclude that the submitted information that is subject to section 552.022 is confidential by law under section 409 of title 23 of the United States Code. Therefore, the department must withhold this information from the requestor.

Next, we address the department's claim under section 552.111 of the Government Code with respect to the remaining submitted information not subject to section 552.022. Section 552.111 excepts from disclosure "an interagency or intraagency memorandum or letter that would not be available by law to a party in litigation with the agency." You assert that the remaining information would be protected from discovery in civil litigation under section 409 of title 23 of the United States Code, and thus, is excepted from disclosure under section 552.111. Based on your representations and our review of the remaining information, we agree that this information falls within the scope of section 409 of title 23 of the United States Code. We note that this information includes communications with a private entity and that section 552.111 encompasses such communications. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 631 at 2 (1995) (section 552.111 encompasses information created for governmental body by outside consultant acting at governmental body's request and performing task that is within governmental body's authority), 563 at 5-6 (1990) (private entity engaged in joint project with governmental body may be regarded as its consultant), 561 at 9 (1990) (section 552.111 encompasses communications with party with which governmental body has privity of interest or common deliberative process), 462 at 14 (1987) (predecessor to section 552.111 applies to memoranda prepared by governmental body's consultants). Therefore, we conclude that the department may withhold the remaining submitted information under section 552.111 of the Government Code.

In summary, the department must withhold the submitted information that is subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code pursuant to section 409 of title 23 of the United States Code. The department may withhold the remaining submitted information pursuant to section 552.111 of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the

governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).


If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Sarah I. Swanson
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

SIS/lmt

Ref: ID# 193419

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Clarence L. Long, P.E.
Thornhill Ramirez & Associates, Inc.
550 Oak Grove road
Leander, Texas 78641-1302
(w/o enclosures)